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Introduction

In response to the Call for Inputs issued by the Office of the Independent Expert on protection against violence and discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity, this submission outlines the human rights situation of members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex community in the Republic of Kenya (Kenya). In particular, this submission discusses the following underpinnings:

1. Decriminalisation of consensual same-sex relations;
2. Effective anti-discrimination measures;
3. Legal recognition of gender identity;
4. Destigmatization linked with depathologisation; and
5. Socio-cultural inclusion. In line with the guidelines of the Call for Inputs, this submission includes information on the current LGBTI rights situation in Kenya, key laws and policies, as well as relevant statistics (annexed). Included in this report are also best practice recommendations for promoting human rights protections on grounds of sexual orientation, gender identity and expression.

This submission has been compiled by the Kenya Human Rights Commission, the National Gay and Lesbian Human Rights Commission, the Network for Adolescent and Youth of Africa, the Gay and Lesbian Coalition of Kenya, and the East Africa Trans Health & Advocacy Network.

Observations

1) Decriminalisation of consensual same-sex relations

Consensual, adult, and private sexual conduct between persons of the same sex are a crime under Section 162 (a) and (c), Section 163, and Section 165 of the Kenya Penal Code.

Section 162 (a) and (c) states that:

162. Unnatural offences Any person who-

1. has carnal knowledge of any person against the order of nature; or
2. permits a male person to have carnal knowledge of him or her against the order of nature, is guilty of a felony and is liable to imprisonment for fourteen years:

Section 163 states that:

163. Attempt to commit unnatural offences

Any person who attempts to commit any of the offences specified in section 162 is guilty of a felony and is liable to imprisonment for seven years.

Section 165 states that:

165. Indecent practices between males

Any male person who, whether in public or private, commits any act of gross indecency with another male person, or procures another male person to commit any act of gross indecency with him, or attempts to procure the commission of any such act by any male person with himself or with another male person, whether in public or private, is guilty of a felony and is liable to imprisonment for five years.

Although rarely enforced, Section 162 (a) and (c), Section 163, and Section 165 of the Kenya Penal Code create a climate of fear among members of the lesbian, gay, and bisexual community. Lesbian, gay, and bisexual persons fear arrest and possible convictions for engaging in consensual, adult, and private sexual conduct. In addition, the criminalisation of same-sex conduct contributes significantly to the stigma associated with being lesbian, gay, and bisexual, leading to violence and discrimination against members of this group.

In 2015, two male persons were charged under Section 162 (a) and (c) in Kwale County, in the coast of Kenya (Republic v COI & GMN). During investigations into the alleged crime, the defendants underwent forced anal examination, HIV, and Hepatitis B tests. Forced anal examinations are considered unscientific and a form of torture or cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment or punishment. Within the context of Republic v COI & GMN, where the accused were arrested in a public place with no probable cause that they were engaging in sexual conduct, the exams were a worrying case of government overreach in the prosecution of Section 162 (a) and (c). More worryingly, the Kenyan High Court subsequently ruled that forced examinations were an appropriate method of gathering evidence to prove that a criminal offence contrary to Section 162 (a) and (c) had occurred.


2) Effective anti-discrimination measures

Article 27 (4) of the Constitution of Kenya (2010) states that:

(4) The State shall not discriminate directly or indirectly against any person on any ground, including race, sex, pregnancy, marital status, health status, ethnic or social origin, colour, age, disability, religion, conscience, belief, culture, dress, language or birth.

Although Article 27 (4) does not explicitly mention sexual orientation, case law has interpreted the provision to include the two categories, thus offering legal protection from discrimination for members of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex community.

In Eric Gitari v NGO Board & 4 Others [2015], Petition 440 of 2013, the High Court held that Article 27 of the Constitution protected ‘every person’ regardless of their sexual orientation. The Court further held that permitting discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation would be against constitutional provisions of equality and non-discrimination.

In the case of Petition 440 of 2013, the Government of Kenya through the Office of the Attorney General immediately lodged an appeal to the decision, preventing the implementation of this positive ruling.

During Kenya’s most recent Universal Periodic Review by the Human Rights Council in 2015, the Government of Kenya accepted a recommendation by Kingdom of Sweden to “Adopt a comprehensive anti-discrimination law affording protection to all individuals, irrespective of their sexual orientation or gender identity.”

3) Legal recognition of gender identity

Persons whose gender identity does not conform to the sex they were assigned at birth face significant challenges when it comes to the legal recognition of their gender identity. In Kenya, policy gaps exist in the areas of equality and non-discrimination, documentation and legal status, as well as appropriate and affirming health care for transgender, intersex, and gender non-conforming individuals.

The Births and Deaths Registration Act, the Registration of Persons Act, and the Citizenship and Immigration Act, key legislation that provide for the issuance of birth certificates, fails to adequately provide for intersex persons as well as person who wish to change their gender marker in government issued documentation.
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Although Article 27 (4) of the Constitution of Kenya (2010) does not explicitly mention gender identity as a protected category, the precedent set in the Audrey Mbugua Case may be construed to mean that transgender, intersex, and gender non-conforming individuals are entitled to human rights protections.
that are specific to their lived experience. However, lack of clear policies relating to non-discrimination on grounds of gender identity open transgender, intersex, gender non-conforming persons to differential treatment.

Identity documentation in Kenyan society forms an important element of public life and key determinant of access: persons without valid identification may face arbitrary arrest and detention by law enforcement; withholding of services such as healthcare, banking, education, employment, and travel. Persons wishing to have their gender marker amended in identity documents or other documents conferring legal status face hardship arising from lack of policies to govern this process. Although the Audrey Mbagua Case set a major precedent in allowing for the alteration of gender markers in government issued documents, the legal grounding was limited to instances where the law did not explicitly provide for the requirement that a gender marker be included in the document (in this case, Ms Audrey Mbagua’s academic certificate). In cases where the law requires a gender marker in a document (such as a birth certificate, passport, or national identity card), persons wishing to have their gender marker amended have few, if any, options. Transgender persons in Kenya also face challenges when seeking to legally change their name, even though this process is governed by law:

4) Destigmatization linked to depathologization

In keeping with constitutional principles of equality and non-discrimination, the Kenya Health Policy stresses the importance of inclusiveness, non-discrimination, social accountability, and gender equality in provision of health services. However, members of the LGBTI community still face hurdles to enjoying their constitutional right to the highest attainable standard of healthcare.

According to a report by UHAI – the East African Sexual Health and Rights Initiative, about 4 out of 10 LGBTI persons in East Africa responded that they were denied health services on account of their gender identity with 46% responding that they were denied services due to their sexual orientation. Further, about 4 out of 10 respondents (37.44%) confirmed staying away from health services due to their sexual orientation.

In response to the challenges facing LGBTI persons wishing to access healthcare, especially in relation to HIV/AIDS and Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs), the National AIDS Control Council has called on the Government of Kenya to implement human rights based approaches to facilitate access to health services particular to the prevention, treatment, and care for HIV/AIDS. In particular, the NACC recommends policy interventions aimed at removal of barriers to access to information and services in public and private entities, improving access to legal and social justice and protection from stigma and discrimination in the public and private sector, and using human rights approaches to assist programs to pursue a zero tolerance to stigma and discrimination.

In Kenya, transgender and gender non-conforming persons face violence and discrimination when accessing health care services, violations that partly arise from the pathologization of gender identities and expression that do not conform to gender norms in Kenyan society.

Transgender, intersex, and gender non-conforming persons face a disproportionate lack of appropriate and affirming health care. In particular, persons wishing to undergo medical procedures aimed at conforming their physical characteristics with their gender identity face a legal and policy environment that does not take their needs into consideration. Currently, there exist no guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of gender identity disorders (GID). The lack of clear policies and guidelines is both a cause and effect of the violence and discrimination that transgender and intersex persons experience. For instance, court records in Audrey Mbagua Case reveal that the applicant had been required to produce documentation showing that they had been diagnosed with gender identity disorder before the gender marker in the academic certificate could be changed. However, without clear guidelines on the diagnosis of gender identity disorder, this could not have been effected and, if it had been, such a diagnosis could have had questionable legal merit.

As a testament to the urgent need for guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of gender identity disorder, Transgender Education and Advocacy (TEA) in 2014 sued the Government of Kenya to compel it to draft, table, and implement rules concerning GID. Although the case was dismissed, it set a precedent that could be used to advocate for comprehensive health rights for transgender, intersex, and gender non-conforming persons.

Intersex persons also suffer from the lack of clear guidelines on appropriate health care, including sex reassignment surgery. In effect, many intersex persons are at risk of inappropriate and invasive medical procedures that constitute torture and other cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment and punishment.

5) Socio-cultural inclusion

Kenyan society continues to grapple with the issue of sexual and gender diversity with data suggesting that many Kenyans are opposed to human rights protections on grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity and expression. A Pew Research Survey from 2013 noted that 90% of respondents from Kenya answered in the negative to the question “Should Society Accept Homosexuality?”

Under Section 2 of the Births and Deaths Registration Act, the prescribed particulars of a birth certificate means – “as to any birth, the name, sex, date and place of birth, and the names, residence, occupations and nationality of the parents.”

4) See, Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Juan E. Méndez, A/HRC/22/53, February 1, 2013. Also see, “Pathologization – Being lesbian, gay, bisexual and/or trans is not an illness” For International Day against Homophobia, Transphobia and Biphobia, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 17 May 2016
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Many factors contribute to opposition to LGBTI rights including conservative religious teachings, colonial legacies, the taboo on sex related issues, strict adherence to a gender binary and gender norms, as well as a lack of understanding of sexual and gender diversity. In addition, prominent individuals including religious and political leaders routinely make negative statements targeting the LGBTI community. For instance, on separate occasions on May and June, 2015, William Ruto, Kenya’s Deputy President stated that “homosexuals have no place in Kenya” and that “Kenya will not allow homosexuality.” In November 2010, Raila Odinga, then Kenya’s Prime Minister, called for the mass arrests and jailing of persons found engaging in homosexuality and ‘lesbianism.’

On a positive note however, the President of the Republic of Kenya, H.E. Uhuru Kenyatta, stated in October 2015 that his administration would not “allow people to take the law into their own hands and harass [LGBTI persons]” stating further that a Kenyan society that respects human rights protections on grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity would ‘take time.’

Negative comments targeting members of the LGBTI community in Kenya have in the past been linked with increased violence and discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity.

**International Best Practices Recommendations to the Government of Kenya**

Based on the above findings and analysis, we make the following recommendations to the Government of Kenya:

1. To immediately repeal Section 162 (a) and (c) and Section 165 of the Penal Code.
2. To immediately pass and implement a law enforcing Article 27 (4) of the Constitution, with provisions outlawing discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation, gender identity, and expression in line with the Government of Kenya’s commitment during the Universal Periodic Review in 2015.
3. To immediately pass and implement laws affirming the rights of transgender and intersex persons, especially on the right to have one’s gender marker changed in all government-issued documentation. Further, to ensure that such laws are in line with international best practices that aim to depathologize the legal status of transgender, intersex, and gender non-conforming individuals.
4. To immediately implement recommendations by the National AIDS Control Council aimed at integrating human rights based approaches to facilitate access to health services particular to the prevention, treatment, and care for HIV/AIDS.
5. To immediately pass and implement laws affirming the right to the highest attainable standards of health as they pertain to transgender, intersex, and gender non-conforming individuals including gender reassignment surgery, HIV/AIDS programming, and mental healthcare.
6. To introduce legislation criminalise hate speech and incitement to violence on grounds of sexual orientation and gender identity, and expression.
7. To withdraw all appeals in which the High Court ruled in favour of LGBTI rights.
8. To withdraw all criminal cases against persons charged under Section 162 (a) and (c) and Section 165 of the Penal Code or, more generally, for persons prosecuted for engaging in adult, consensual, and private same-sex conduct.
9. To immediately ban forced anal examinations as a means of collecting evidence against persons accused of engaging in adult, consensual, and private same-sex conduct.
10. To prosecute to the full extent of the law all persons suspected of committing acts of violence, including incitement to violence, on grounds of sexual orientation, gender identity, and expression.

---

Legal Aid Report
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About Us

The National Gay and Lesbian Human Rights Commission (NGLHRC) is an independent human rights institution that exists to realize legal and policy reforms towards equality and full inclusion of sexual and gender minorities in Kenya. The bastion of our work is providing daily free legal aid to ensure access to justice for sexual and gender minorities in Kenya. This legal aid is supported by training of paralegals and first responders, civic education, monitoring and documentation of violations, strategic litigation, lobbying and public education programs.

We are a dedicated team of lawyers and justice defenders whose mission is to promote and protect the equality and inclusion of LGBTIQ persons and communities in Kenya, and advance their meaningful participation in Society. We envision a Kenyan society with equal rights and opportunities for all.

Executive Summary

The NGLHRC legal aid center responds to and seeks redress to violations against all persons on ground of their sexual orientation and/or gender identity whether real or imputed. NGLHRC provides free legal aid, referrals, documentation, intervention, alternative dispute resolution and direct legal representation. We also provide bail and bond for our clients based on a (most at) need analysis. We also provide urgent response and fact finding in some cases and engage in strategic litigation toward equality and justice for LGBTIQ persons in Kenya.

In 2016, NGLHRC received 193 reports of violations through its legal aid clinic and 78 cases through its allies and referral mechanisms. 207 of these violations were reported in and around Nairobi. Violations were reported from out of town and the NGLHRC was able to intervene through phone conversations, referrals, activating trained paralegals in the locale as well as relying on the goodwill of the partnerships that have been cultivated with the judiciary and police in different counties. These cases were attended to in Kwale, Nyeri, Eldoret, Nakuru, Lamu, Kisu, Kisii, Malindi, Mombasa and Kiambu.

Some of the notable spikes in this analysis are the increased number of violations against transgender and gender non-conforming persons as well as targeting of ITGNC organizing. Additionally, there is a steady increase of reports from our lesbian, bisexual and queer constituency. One of the more notable constants in this analysis is the high number of male gay/bisexual identified clients as compared with other sexual and gender identities. Similarly, there is still a very low reporting rate by complaints denoting the lack of the trust in the police and judiciary by the LGBTIQ constituency in Kenya.

In cases where the violations are actually reported, there are very little prospects of completing investigations, arrests and arraignment in court. Even in the rare cases where the trial process is begun, there is a large likelihood of interference by both state and non-state actors leading to withdrawal of the matter. This is also reinforced by the general lack of goodwill within the justice system to pursue redress for LGBTIQ complainants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Nature of Incidence</th>
<th>No. of Incidences</th>
<th>No. reported to the Police</th>
<th>Status of case</th>
<th>Perpetrator</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Blackmail and Extortion</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9 cases under investigation 3 arrests 1 perpetrator charged with theft 2 cases not issued with O.B number</td>
<td>State: 6 Non-state: 31</td>
<td>36 of the complainants were male 1 female client Reports of police working with blackmailers to detain and threaten clients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Violence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Non-state</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Physical assault</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 report of castration where a client was attacked assaulted so badly he lost use of one of his testicles. 7 reports of intimate partner violence. 1 report of attack by city council officers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Charges dropped before arraignment in court. 1 report of repeated verbal abuse by a senior manager at client’s place of work upon discovery of their sexual orientation. Client unwilling to report to police or pursue further action.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Verbal assault</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 report of repeated verbal abuse by a senior manager at client’s place of work upon discovery of their sexual orientation. Client unwilling to report to police or pursue further action.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Sexual violence</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Report of assailant taking and distributing pictures of the unconscious victim within the college campus they both attend. 2 reports of threats from intimate partners on matters related to HIV status. Reports of assailants brandishing a gun at clients and threatening to kill them.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Threats of violence</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 clients reported threats from intimate partners on matters related to HIV status. Reports of assailants brandishing a gun at clients and threatening to kill them.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Cyber bullying</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Reports of high ranking state officers trolling LGBTIQ persons engaging them on social media. Matter featured on mainstream media highlighting CCTV footage showing the alleged perpetrators. No follow up available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Murder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Matter featured on mainstream media highlighting CCTV footage showing the alleged perpetrators. No follow up available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Suicide/Attempts</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Referrals for psycho-social made.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Eviction from rental property</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>A large number of reports made by refugees and asylum seekers within urban areas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Dismissal from employment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>One client engaging in alternative dispute resolution as had been prescribed in contract.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Expulsion from schools</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Non-state</td>
<td>Both students had been attacked and seriously injured by fellow students before the intervention of the school administration. No action was taken against the assailants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Unlawful arrest and detention</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3 reports to IPOA</td>
<td>No response from IPOA</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Complaints forwarded to the office of the Ombudsman.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Targeted criminal prosecution</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 charge dropped; 1 client acquitted</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Charges dropped when the law on which the prosecution relied was deemed unconstitutional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Forced Relocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Internal Relocation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Clients referred to allied organizations</td>
<td>Non-state</td>
<td>Internal relocation has proved in some cases to only be a temporary solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Refugee/Asylum</td>
<td>Cumulative Data Unavailable</td>
<td>Only 9 reports received by NGLHRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>Social exclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Denial of services</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 clients complained to senior management in the institutions</td>
<td>1 state (institution)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Denial of access to premises</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 clients complained to management</td>
<td>Non-state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Disinheritance/disowning</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 ADR intervention</td>
<td>Non-state</td>
<td>NGLHRC facilitated dialogue between client and family and matter was resolved albeit with conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Custody of children</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No action taken by police</td>
<td>Non-state</td>
<td>Clients complained of families/spouses denying the access to/custody of their children upon discovery of their sexual orientation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>